

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginsa 22313-1450 www.spile.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/816,933	03/23/2001	Christian Siemers	GR 98 P 8110 P	6157
27346 7590 11/13/2008 LERNER GREENBERG STEMER LLP FOR INFINEON TECHNOLOGIES AG			EXAMINER	
			SIDDIQI, MOHAMMAD A	
P.O. BOX 2480 HOLLYWOOD, FL 33022-2480		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2454	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/13/2008	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 09/816,933 SIEMERS, CHRISTIAN Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit MOHAMMAD A. SIDDIQI 2454 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 October 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 39-76 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-38 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner, Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/fi.iall Date \_\_\_\_\_\_.

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/816,933

Art Unit: 2454

## DETAILED ACTION

 Claims 1- 38 are presented for examination. Claims 39-76 have been withdrawn from examination. Claims 77-78 have been cancelled.

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/03/2008 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary sikl in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/816,933 Art Unit: 2454

- Claims 1-7, 10-11, 13-26, 29-30, 32-38, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moore et al. (6,598,148) (hereinafter Moore) in view of Herrmann et al. (6,134,707) (Hereinafter Herrmann).
- 5. As per claims 1 and 20, Moore discloses a program-controlled unit (see abstract), comprising: an intelligent core configured to process instructions to be executed (fig 1-8, abstract, col 4, lines 1-30):

a plurality of units selected from the group consisting of internal peripheral units disposed inside the program-controlled unit (fig 1-8, abstract, col 4, lines 1-30), external peripheral units exterior to the program-controlled unit (fig 1-8, abstract, col 4, col 6, lines 26-48, lines 1-30, col 8, lines 1-47), and one or more memory devices (fig 1-8, abstract, col 4, lines 1-45, col 6, lines 26-48, lines 1-30, col 8, lines 1-61); and

an application-specifically configurable intelligent interface (col 14, lines 62-67 and col 15, lines 1-20), including a structurable hardware unit including at least one of structurable data paths and structurable logic elements, said structurable data paths and/or said structurable logic elements being structurable to provide a plurality of different data paths (fig 4, col 4, lines 1-11; col 14, lines 62-67 and col 15, lines 1-20; "FIG. 6 shows how the microprocessor 50 is connected to an electrically programmable read only memory (EPROM) 260 by reconfiguring the data lines 52 so that some of the data lines 52 are input lines and some of them are output lines.") for connecting said intelligent core (fig 1-8, abstract, col 13, lines 1-10) and said plurality of units and/or for connecting at least some of said plurality of units to each other, said application-

Application/Control Number: 09/816,933

Art Unit: 2454

specifically configurable intelligent interface (fig 1-8, abstract, col 13, lines 1-10, col 4, col 6, lines 26-48, lines 1-30, col 8, lines 1-61), including at least one of an interface (external 32 bit bus, col 9, lines 35-41) connection between said intelligent core and said internal peripheral units (fig 1-8, abstract, col 4, lines 1-30), an interface connection (external 32 bit bus, col 9, lines 35-41) between said intelligent core and said external peripheral units (fig 1-8, abstract, col 13, lines 1-10, col 4, col 6, lines 26-48, lines 1-30, col 8, lines 1-61), an interface connection (external 32 bit bus, col 9, lines 35-41) between said intelligent core and said memory devices (fig 1-8, abstract, col 13, lines 1-10, col 4, col 6, lines 26-48, lines 1-30, col 8, lines 1-61), and an interface connection (external 32 bit bus, col 9, lines 35-41) between said plurality of units (fig 1-8, abstract, col 13, lines 1-10, col 4, col 6, lines 26-48, lines 1-30, col 8, lines 1-30, col 8, lines 1-61); and

Although Moore disclose all the elements including structurable hardware unit (abstract, fig 1-8, col 4, lines 1-11). However, Moore fails to specifically disclose structurable hardware unit being configured using at least one of fuse, anti-fuses and data representing a desired reversible configuration being stored in memory device. However, Herrmann discloses disclose structurable hardware unit being configured using at least one of fuse, anti-fuses and data representing a desired reversible configuration being stored in memory device (fig 1, col 23, lines 52-67). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of Herrmann and Moore. The motivation would have been to programming IC containing programmable elements.

Application/Control Number: 09/816,933 Page 5

Art Unit: 2454

6. As per claims 2 and 21, claims are rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition, Moore discloses the structurable hardware unit is disposed in circuit terms between said intelligent core and said plurality of units (fig 1-8, abstract, col 13, lines 1-10, col 4, col 6, lines 26-48, lines 1-30, col 8, lines 1-61).

- 1. As per claims 3 and 22, claims are rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition, Moore discloses the structurable hardware unit is connected to a multiplicity of data and signal sources and data and signal destinations (fig 4, col 21-32), and wherein a plurality of multiplexers are connected to said structurable hardware unit for selecting current data and signal sources and current data and signal destinations (fig 4 and 12, col 4, lines 21-32).
- 7. As per claims 4 and 23, claims are rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition, Moore discloses the data and signal sources and the data and signal destinations comprise units selected from the group of units consisting of said intelligent core, said peripheral units, said memory devices and portions of said structurable hardware unit (fig 1-12, abstract, col 4, lines 21-32, col 12, lines 6-45).
- As per claims 5 and 24, claims are rejected for the same reasons as claim 1,
  above. In addition, Moore discloses a structuring of said structurable hardware unit

selectively results in an alteration of given data paths and in a configuration of logic elements (idle, col 5, lines 15-20).

- 9. As per claims 6 and 25, claims are rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition, Moore discloses a said structurable hardware unit includes a clock generation unit generating a clock signal and a logic block unit connected to receive the clock signal (col 8, lines 1-5, col 14, lines 37-38), said logic block unit enables devices to be connected via said structurable hardware unit to cooperate as desired (fig 1-8, abstract, col 13, lines 1-10, col 4, col 6, lines 26-48, lines 1-30, col 8, lines 1-61).
- 10. As per claims 7 and 26, claims are rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition, Moore discloses the clock generation unit and said logic block unit each contain configurable elements (col 8, lines 1-5, col 14, lines 37-38).
- 11. As per claims 10 and 29, claims are rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition, Moore discloses the logic block unit comprises at least one logic block subdivided at least partly into individually configurable sub-blocks with predetermined tasks (abstract, fig 1-12, col 9, lines 51-53, col 10, lines 1-10).
- As per claims 11 and 30, claims are rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition, Moore discloses one of sub-blocks is configured as a processing

Art Unit: 2454

device enabled for one of arithmetic and logical processing of data input to said subblock (col 4, lines 1-11).

- 13. As per claims 13 and 32, claims are rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition, Moore discloses one of sub-blocks is configured as an address calculation device for calculating source and destination addresses (fig 1-8, abstract, col 13, lines 1-10, col 4, col 6, lines 26-48, lines 1-30, col 8, lines 1-61).
- 14. As per claims 14 and 33, claims are rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition, Moore discloses one of sub-blocks is configured as an instruction injection device for injecting instructions into an instruction pipeline of said intelligent core (col 17, lines 15-47).
- 15. As per claims 15 and 34, claims are rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition, Herrmann discloses the structurable hardware unit is configurable with devices selected from the group consisting of fuses and anti-fuses (col 23, line 64).
- 16. As per claims 16 and 35, claims are rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition, Moore discloses the structurable hardware unit is reversibly configurable (abstract, col 17, lines 2-5).

Application/Control Number: 09/816,933 Page 8

Art Unit: 2454

17. As per claims 17 and 36, claims are rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition, Moore discloses the structurable hardware unit is configurable based on data representing a desired configuration, and the data are stored in memory devices insertible into a memory or I/O area which is addressible by said intelligent core (fig 1-8, abstract, col 4, lines 1-61).

- 18. As per claims 18 and 37, claims are rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition, Moore discloses a configuration of structurable hardware unit is enabled only at predetermined times (fig 1-8, abstract, col 4, lines 1-61).
- 19. As per claims 19 and 38, claims are rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above. In addition, Moore discloses the program-controlled configuration of structurable hardware unit is enabled at any time (fig 1-8, abstract, col 4, lines 1-61).
- 20. Claims 8, 9, 12, 27, 28, and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moore et al. (6,598,148) (hereinafter Moore) in view of Herrmann et al. (6,134,707) (Hereinafter Herrmann) as applied to claims 1 and 20 above, and further in view of Takahashi et al. (5,825,878) (hereinafter Takahashi).
- 21. As per claims 8 and 27, Moore discloses the clock generation unit is formed at least in part by a device selected from the group consisting of a DNF logic configuration, an array, a multiplexer-based logic variant, and a structurable logic configuration (fig 4 and 12, col 29, lines 30-50, col 12, lines 19-40). Moore and Herrmann are silent about

Application/Control Number: 09/816,933

Art Unit: 2454

NAND. However, Takahashi discloses NAND (fig 6, col 10, lines 36-38). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine Takahashi with Moore and Herrmann. The Motivation would have been to provide a high performance microprocessor that can be directly connected to memory controller.

- As per claims 9 and 28, the claim is rejected for same reasons as claim 8, above.
  in addition, Takahashi discloses NAND (fig 6, col 10, lines 36-38).
- 23. As per claims 12 and 31, the claim is rejected for same reasons as claim 8, above. In addition, Takahashi discloses one of sub-blocks is configured as a state machine for central sequence control (fig 4, col 6, lines 52-55).

## Response to Arguments

- 24. Applicant's arguments filed 10/03/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive, therefore rejections to claims 1-38 is maintained.
- 25. In the remarks applicants argued that:

**Argument:** Moore does not disclose an application-specifically configurable intelligent interface, including a structurable hardware unit including at least one of structurable data paths and structurable logic elements, said structurable data paths and/or said

Application/Control Number: 09/816,933

Art Unit: 2454

structurable logic elements being structurable to provide a plurality of different data paths for connecting said intelligent core and said plurality of units and/or for connecting at least some of said plurality of units to each other, said applicationspecifically configurable intelligent interface.

Response: Moore discloses an application-specifically configurable intelligent interface (col 14, lines 62-67 and col 15, lines 1-20), including a structurable hardware unit including at least one of structurable data paths and structurable logic elements, said structurable data paths and/or said structurable logic elements being structurable to provide a plurality of different data paths (fig 4, col 4, lines 1-11; col 14, lines 62-67 and col 15, lines 1-20; "FIG. 6 shows how the microprocessor 50 is connected to an electrically programmable read only memory (EPROM) 260 by reconfiguring the data lines 52 so that some of the data lines 52 are input lines and some of them are output lines.") for connecting said intelligent core (fig 1-8, abstract, col 13, lines 1-10) and said plurality of units and/or for connecting at least some of said plurality of units to each other, said application-specifically configurable intelligent interface (fig 1-8, abstract, col 13, lines 1-10, col 4, col 6, lines 26-48, lines 1-30, col 8, lines 1-61).

Note: In general, Applicant's arguments reflect a difference of opinion over the teachings of the prior art and how these teachings would be evaluated in light of the knowledge generally available to those in the appropriate art and the level of ordinary skill in the art. Moreover, Applicant's take an overly narrow view of the claim language.

Art Unit: 2454

## Conclusion

26. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MOHAMMAD A. SIDDIQI whose telephone number is (571)272-3976. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J. Flynn can be reached on (571) 272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MS

/Nathan J. Flynn/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2454